IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 PRINCETON DEVELOPMENTS, LLC,

No. C 11-4471 CW

Plaintiff,

ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANT FRANK LORENZO PAVLICO'S OPPOSITION TO

٧.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'

BRYNEE K. BAYLOR; BAYLOR &
JACKSON, PLLC; THE MILAN GROUP,
INC.; FRANK LORENZO; GPH
HOLDINGS, LLC; and PATRICK LEWIS,

MOTIONS TO AMEND

Defendants.

KUMAN BANQUE, LLC,

No. C 11-4472 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

BRYNEE K. BAYLOR; BAYLOR & JACKSON, PLLC; THE MILAN GROUP, INC.; and FRANK LORENZO,

Defendants.

On May 4, 2012, Plaintiffs Princeton Developments, LLC and Kuman Banque LLC filed motions seeking leave to amend the complaints in these related cases.

On May 23, 2012, having received no opposition, the Court granted the motions and allowed Plaintiffs to file their first amended complaints.

On June 1, 2012, Defendant Frank Lorenzo Pavlico filed a document stating that he was not properly served with Plaintiffs' motions and requesting permission to file oppositions to the motions. The Court granted Defendant's request on June 6, 2012.

On June 19, 2012, Defendant filed his oppositions, arguing that Plaintiffs will not be able to prove their claims ultimately and that they have not offered evidence in support of the allegations contained in their proposed first amended complaint.

Having considered Defendant's oppositions, the Court finds no cause to reconsider its May 23, 2012 Order. Defendant's evidentiary arguments are more properly addressed to a motion for summary judgment, instead of a motion for leave to amend the complaint. In the context of the instant motion, Plaintiffs were not required to offer evidence in support of each allegation in their proposed amended complaint. Accordingly, Defendant's oppositions are OVERRULED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/26/2012

CLAUDIA WILKEN

United States District Judge